Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/336,339	DUBELSTEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:

(1) *Mathieu D. Vargot*.

(2) *Mr. Slater (Atty)*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *10 May 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-92 and 96-175

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Slater agreed to cancel the non-elected claims 1-92 and 96-175 so that case could be passed to issue.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

M. Vargot

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)